

# First-principles investigation of Sr-Ce-M-O perovskites for solar thermochemical water splitting

Sachin Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Pritam Ghosh<sup>1</sup>, and Gopalakrishnan Sai Gautam<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Materials Engineering, Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru, 560012, India

\*Email: saigautamg@iisc.ac.in

September 3, 2025

## Abstract

Using density functional theory based calculations, we systematically examine the utility of Sr-M-O and Sr-Ce-M-O perovskites for solar thermochemical water splitting, a promising route for sustainable hydrogen production. Importantly, we identify  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{MnO}_3$  and  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{CrO}_3$  to be promising candidates, exhibiting optimal oxygen vacancy formation energy and 0 K thermodynamic stability.

## 1 Introduction

The escalating global energy demand and the pressing need to mitigate climate change necessitate a transition towards sustainable and carbon-neutral energy carriers. [1, 2] Hydrogen, with its high energy density and zero-emission combustion, stands out as a promising alternative to fossil fuels. [3, 4] To produce green hydrogen on a large scale, solar thermochemical water splitting (STWS) cycles offer a direct and efficient pathway by harnessing concentrated solar energy to drive the endothermic dissociation of water, typically via a two-step redox cycle involving a metal oxide (see Section I of the electronic supplementary information—ESI). [5, 6]

The STWS cycle generally comprises:

1. **Thermal Reduction (TR):**  $\text{MO}_x \rightarrow \text{MO}_{x-\delta} + \delta/2 \text{O}_2(\text{g})$  [at  $T > 1673 \text{ K}$ ]
2. **Water Splitting (WS):**  $\text{MO}_{x-\delta} + \delta \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{g}) \rightarrow \text{MO}_x + \delta \text{H}_2(\text{g})$  [at  $T$  between 873-1473 K]

Non-stoichiometric cerium dioxide ( $\text{CeO}_2$ ) is the current state-of-the-art material for STWS owing to its rapid redox kinetics and structural stability. [7, 8] However,  $\text{CeO}_2$  requires very high reduction temperatures ( $> 1500^\circ\text{C}$ ) to achieve even modest extent of reduction (i.e.,  $\delta$ ), limiting practical solar-to-hydrogen efficiency. [9] Specifically,  $\text{CeO}_2$  exhibits an enthalpy of reduction ( $\Delta H_{\text{red}}$ )  $\sim 4 \text{ eV}$  per oxygen vacancy, which is significantly higher than the ideal range of 3.4-3.9 eV/vacancy for optimal STWS operating conditions. [10] Offering tunable redox properties, perovskite oxides ( $\text{ABO}_3$ ) have emerged as attractive alternatives to  $\text{CeO}_2$  for STWS, exhibiting favourable thermodynamics for reduction at moderate temperatures (between

1200–1400 °C) and good kinetic performance. [11–13] Most perovskites, however, exhibit redox activity primarily on the B-site transition-metal cation, restricting the configurational entropy change upon reduction ( $\Delta S_{\text{red}}$ ) relative to ceria, [14] eventually limiting amount of  $\text{H}_2$  generated.

At any  $T$ , the Gibbs energy of reduction ( $\Delta G_{\text{red}} = \Delta H_{\text{red}} - T\Delta S_{\text{red}}$ ) governs the extent of reduction. For a given  $\Delta H_{\text{red}}$ , a higher  $\Delta S_{\text{red}}$  permits greater reduction extent at a given temperature, or, equivalently, greater reduction at lower temperatures. Consequently, designing perovskites with enhanced  $\Delta S_{\text{red}}$  by enabling multi-site redox is a key strategy for improving STWS performance. Previous work on  $\text{Ca}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{MnO}_3$  demonstrated the feasibility of simultaneous A-site (Ce) and B-site (Mn) redox, leading to improved STWS characteristics. [15, 16] Building upon this concept, Sr-based perovskites are of particular interest owing to Sr’s larger ionic radius, which may accommodate redox-active Ce more readily [17, 18] and also influence oxygen mobility. Nevertheless, a systematic investigation of Sr-based quaternary perovskites incorporating Ce for STWS is currently lacking.

Our study employs density functional theory (DFT) based calculations to systematically explore the potential of Sr-M-O ternary ( $\text{SrMO}_3$ ) and Sr-Ce-M-O quaternary ( $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{MO}_3$ ) perovskites (where  $\text{M} = \text{Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, or Co}$ ) as potential STWS materials. We exclude Sc and Zn from the  $3d$  series since they are not redox-active, while we exclude Cu since it typically does not acquire a +3 oxidation state and form perovskite structures. [19] We also exclude Ni since we could not obtain a reliable experimental  $\text{SrNiO}_3$  structure, which can be attributed to its instability under ambient pressures. [20] We focus on elucidating the oxygen vacancy formation energy ( $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$ ), a proxy for  $\Delta H_{\text{red}}$ , and 0 K thermodynamic stability to identify candidates potentially capable of simultaneous A-site ( $\text{Ce}^{4+}$ ) and B-site (M-cation) reduction. Such dual-site redox activity can achieve higher  $\Delta S_{\text{red}}$ , [21] potentially surpassing  $\text{CeO}_2$  in STWS efficiency. Our findings identify  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{CrO}_3$  and  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{MnO}_3$  systems to be promising candidates, exhibiting optimal  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$  and thermodynamic stability, paving the way for a new class of high-performance STWS materials.

## 2 Methods

All spin-polarized DFT calculations were performed using the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP). [22, 23] We employed the projector augmented-wave potentials, [24] and described the exchange-correlation interactions using the strongly constrained and appropriately normed (SCAN) functional. [25] A Hubbard  $U$  correction (i.e., SCAN+ $U$ ) was applied to the  $3d$  orbitals of M and the  $4f$  orbitals of Ce to accurately describe the strongly correlated electrons, with the  $U$  values taken from literature. [26–28] We used a plane-wave energy cutoff of 520 eV and sampled the irreducible Brillouin zone using  $\Gamma$ -centered Monkhorst-Pack [29]  $k$ -point meshes with a density of at least  $48 \text{ \AA}^{-3}$ . We relaxed all structures, i.e., allowed cell shape, volume, and ionic positions to change without preserving symmetry, until atomic forces were below  $-0.01 \text{ eV/\AA}$  and the total energy converged to  $10^{-5} \text{ eV}$ .

Initial structures for ternary  $\text{SrMO}_3$  perovskites were obtained from the inorganic crystal structure database (ICSD). [30] For each  $\text{SrMO}_3$  system, we considered various known polymorphs, as available in the ICSD, to identify the ground state structure (see Table S1 of the ESI). Quaternary  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{MO}_3$  structures were generated by substituting 50% of Sr atoms with Ce in the A-site of the ground state, ternary  $\text{SrMO}_3$  supercells. We utilized the pymatgen library [31] to generate symmetrically distinct A-site cation orderings (i.e., Sr and Ce configurations) within each  $\text{SrMO}_3$  unit cell or supercell.

The thermodynamic stability of pristine perovskites was evaluated by calculating the energy above the convex hull ( $E_{\text{hull}}$ ) with respect to other competing phases. For calculating  $E_{\text{hull}}$ , we considered all ordered unary, binary, ternary, and quaternary Sr-Ce-M-O compounds, as available in the ICSD, excluding intermetallics, as compiled in Section V of the ESI. While  $E_{\text{hull}} \leq 0$  indicates instability (or metastability) at 0 K, entropic contributions at high operational temperatures can stabilize some metastable phases to an extent. [15] Convex hull plots of ternary and quaternary systems are compiled in Figures S1 and S2 of the ESI.

We calculated neutral  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$  within supercells of the pristine ground-state  $\text{SrMO}_3$  and  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{MO}_3$  structures. We maintained a minimum distance of 8  $\text{\AA}$  between periodic vacancy images to reduce spurious interactions between the vacancy defect and its periodic images. [15] Specifically, we used  $3 \times 3 \times 3$  supercells for cubic and tetragonal systems,  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  supercells for orthorhombic and monoclinic systems and  $2 \times 2 \times 1$  supercells for hexagonal systems, respectively, to ensure convergence of  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$  to within  $\pm 0.1 \text{ eV}$ . [32] We used the following equation to calculate  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$ :

$$E[\text{V}_\text{O}] = E_{\text{defective}}^{\text{SCAN}+U} - E_{\text{pristine}}^{\text{SCAN}+U} + \frac{1}{2}E_{\text{O}_2(\text{g})}^{\text{SCAN}} \quad (1)$$

where  $E_{\text{defective}}^{\text{SCAN}+U}$  and  $E_{\text{pristine}}^{\text{SCAN}+U}$  represent the total energy of the supercell with one oxygen vacancy and the pristine supercell, respectively, calculated with SCAN+ $U$ .  $E_{\text{O}_2(\text{g})}^{\text{SCAN}}$  is the total energy of an isolated oxygen molecule in its triplet ground state, calculated using the SCAN functional. We considered all symmetrically distinct oxygen sites for the formation of the vacancy. For defective structures, we relaxed the ionic positions only with fixed lattice parameters (from the corresponding relaxed pristine ground state structure). We prioritized the lowest  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$  among different vacancy sites for identifying candidate compositions.

### 3 Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Ternary perovskites




Figure 1: (a) Energy relative to the calculated ground state for different SrMO<sub>3</sub> polymorphs considered. (b-d) Ground state polymorphs of SrMO<sub>3</sub> ternary perovskites, namely (b) SrTiO<sub>3</sub>, (c) SrVO<sub>3</sub>, SrCrO<sub>3</sub>, SrFeO<sub>3</sub>, and SrCoO<sub>3</sub>, and (d) SrMnO<sub>3</sub>. Green and red spheres are Sr and O, respectively, while colored polyhedra represent MO<sub>6</sub> octahedra.

First, we use DFT to identify the ground state structures of ternary SrMO<sub>3</sub> perovskites, among the various polymorphs reported in the ICSD, with Figure 1a plotting the energies (in meV/f.u.) relative to the calculated ground state for each SrMO<sub>3</sub>. Specifically, the calculated ground states are tetragonal (*I4/mcm*) for SrTiO<sub>3</sub> (panel b in Figure 1), cubic (*Pm-3m*) for SrVO<sub>3</sub>, SrCrO<sub>3</sub>, SrFeO<sub>3</sub>, and SrCoO<sub>3</sub> (panel c), and orthorhombic (*C221*) for SrMnO<sub>3</sub> (panel d), largely consistent with available experimental literature. [33–36]

In the case of SrMnO<sub>3</sub>, we predict the orthorhombic structure to be more stable than the experimentally observed room temperature hexagonal (*P6<sub>3</sub>/mmc*) phase, [37] which can be attributed to possible subtle low-temperature distortions that may be challenging to capture experimentally. In any case, we don't expect the

orthorhombic  $\text{SrMnO}_3$  phase to be relevant under STWS operating temperatures and utilize the hexagonal structure for subsequent calculations. In terms of the 0 K thermodynamic stability, we find all the calculated ground states of all  $\text{SrMO}_3$  perovskites considered to be on the convex hull (i.e.,  $E_{\text{hull}} = 0$  meV/atom), except  $\text{SrCoO}_3$  ( $E_{\text{hull}} \sim 200$  meV/atom) and  $\text{SrCrO}_3$  ( $E_{\text{hull}} \sim 30$  meV/atom, see Figure S2 of the ESI). The hexagonal- $\text{SrMnO}_3$  is above the 0 K Sr-Mn-O convex hull by  $\sim 5$  meV/atom.

The calculated  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$  for the ternary Sr-M-O perovskites are shown in Figure 2 for each M. While the solid bars indicate the lowest (or only)  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$  for each system, hollow bars signify the variation in  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$  due to differences in the oxygen vacancy configuration within a structure. The dashed blue and red lines indicate the optimal range of  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$  used for identifying potential STWS candidates, namely 3.2-4.1 eV, as used in prior literature. [10,15,16] We require an optimal  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$  since the interactions between the oxide ion and its cation neighbors should be neither too strong (affects thermal reduction) nor too weak (affects spontaneity of water splitting). [15]




Figure 2: Calculated  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$  of the various ground state polymorphs of Sr-M-O ternary perovskites. The blue and red dashed line indicates the optimal range of window of  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$  for STWS. For  $\text{SrMnO}_3$ , we considered the experimentally-relevant hexagonal polymorph instead of the ground state orthorhombic structure.

We find the  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$  to follow the trends in the crystal reduction potentials of the  $\text{M}^{4+}$ , suggesting that the ease/difficulty of reducing the transition metal cation plays a crucial role in setting the magnitude of  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$  in ternary  $\text{SrMO}_3$ . [32] For example,  $\text{SrTiO}_3$  exhibits a high  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$  ( $\sim 6$  eV, Figure 2), well beyond the optimal 3.2-4.1 eV range, which can be attributed to the low (negative) crystal reduction potential of  $\text{Ti}^{4+}$ , [32] making it too difficult to reduce under practical STWS conditions. On the other hand,  $\text{SrCrO}_3$ ,  $\text{SrFeO}_3$ , and  $\text{SrCoO}_3$  exhibit low  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$  ( $\leq 2$  eV), indicating insufficient ability to generate  $\text{H}_2$ . Importantly, we find  $\text{SrVO}_3$  ( $E[\text{V}_\text{O}] \sim 4.35$  eV) and hexagonal- $\text{SrMnO}_3$  ( $E[\text{V}_\text{O}] \sim 1.59$ -3.09 eV) to be promising candidates, with the calculated  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$  close to the targeted range. However, the redox-activity of both  $\text{SrVO}_3$  and  $\text{SrMnO}_3$  is on the M-site only (see Table S2 of the ESI).

### 3.2 Quaternary perovskites

To potentially enhance  $\Delta S_{\text{red}}$ , we introduce Ce to the A-site of the  $\text{SrMO}_3$  ternaries and create  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{MO}_3$  quaternaries. The potential presence of  $\text{Ce}^{4+}$ , which can reduce to  $\text{Ce}^{3+}$ , offers the possibility of redox activity at the A site in addition to the B site. Due to the high  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$  in ternary- $\text{SrTiO}_3$ , we did not consider the corresponding quaternary, i.e.,  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3$  for further calculations. Upon substitution of Sr with Ce, we used DFT to identify the ground state Sr-Ce configuration within each perovskite, as compiled in Figure 3. Notably, we observe all the quaternary ground state structures to be thermodynamically stable, i.e.,  $E_{\text{hull}} = 0$  meV/atom (Figure S2 of the ESI), indicating their potential synthesizability in experiments.




Figure 3: Ground state polymorphs of  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{MO}_3$  based perovskites, with notations similar to Figure 1 and Ce atoms depicted by yellow spheres. (a)  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{VO}_3$ , (b)  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{CrO}_3$ , (c)  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{MnO}_3$ , (d)  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{FeO}_3$ , and (e)  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{CoO}_3$ .

Figure 4 displays the DFT-calculated  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$  for the Sr-Ce-M-O quaternaries considered. The trends in the calculated  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$  among the quaternaries do exhibit significant differences compared to the trends in ternary Sr-M-O, which can be due to the electrostatic and possible redox contributions of Ce. For example, the calculated  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$  do not differ by  $\sim 4$  eV between the Sr-Ce-V-O and Sr-Ce-Cr-O quaternaries, unlike the Sr-V-O and Sr-Cr-O ternaries.

Notably,  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{VO}_3$  shows  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$  between 5.28–5.54 eV, which is too high for efficient STWS, despite the potential for V-site redox in ternary Sr-V-O. Also,  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{FeO}_3$  and  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{CoO}_3$  exhibit low  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$  ( $\leq 2.2$  eV), making them unsuitable, similar to their ternary counterparts. Importantly, we find  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{MnO}_3$  and  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{CrO}_3$  to be promising candidates for STWS, given their calculated  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$ , namely 2.81–3.87 eV and 4.03–4.83 eV, overlap with our target range of 3.2–4.1 eV. Note that Mn is a recurring redox-active element of importance among perovskites for STWS, since Mn-containing compositions have been demonstrated before as promising STWS candidates. [15, 16, 38]




Figure 4: Oxygen vacancy formation energies of the various ground state polymorphs of Sr and Ce based quaternary perovskites. The blue and red dashed line indicates the suitable window of oxygen vacancy formation energies for STC application.  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{CrO}_3$  and  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{MnO}_3$  have values closer to the optimal range and are suitable candidates.

Although we do not observe any dual cation redox at 0 K in any of the Sr-Ce-M-O quaternaries (see Table S3 of the ESI), as indicated by changes in on-site magnetic moments, we expect multiple redox mechanisms to be activated at higher temperatures as vacancy concentration increases and higher energy vacancy configurations form. Simultaneous redox activity can also be induced by doping elements in addition to Ce on the B-site and/or on the A-site to form quinary and higher component Sr-perovskites.

## 4 Conclusion

In conclusion, we systematically investigated ternary  $\text{SrMO}_3$  and quaternary  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{MO}_3$  ( $M = \text{Ti}, \text{V}, \text{Cr}, \text{Mn}, \text{Fe}, \text{or Co}$ ) perovskites for STWS using DFT-based calculations. We identified the ground state structures of both ternaries and quaternaries, evaluated their 0 K thermodynamic stability and calculated  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$ . Importantly, we found  $\text{SrMnO}_3$  and  $\text{SrVO}_3$  among ternaries, and  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{MnO}_3$  and  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{CrO}_3$  among quaternaries to be promising candidates, as indicated by the overlap of calculated  $E[\text{V}_\text{O}]$  with the optimal range of 3.2-4.1 eV. Our findings highlight a promising chemical strategy for designing advanced STWS materials that enable efficient green  $\text{H}_2$  generation and will be useful in the exploration of other higher-component oxide perovskites for STWS and beyond.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## Acknowledgements

G.S.G. acknowledges financial support from Tata Consultancy Services (TCS). The authors acknowledge the computational resources provided by the Supercomputer Education and Research Centre (SERC), IISc and useful discussions with Dr. Sriram Goverapet Srinivasan of TCS.

## Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

## References

- [1] International Energy Agency. World energy outlook 2023. Technical report, IEA, Paris, 2023.
- [2] Nicola Armaroli and Vincenzo Balzani. The future of energy supply: challenges and opportunities. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 46:52–66, 2007.
- [3] John A. Turner. A realizable renewable energy future. *Science*, 285(5428):687–689, 1999.
- [4] P. M. Falcone, M. Hiete, and A. Sapio. Hydrogen economy and sustainable development goals: review and policy insights. *Curr. Opin. Green Sustain. Chem.*, 31:100506, 2021.
- [5] Aldo Steinfeld. Solar thermochemical production of hydrogen—a review. *Solar Energy*, 78(5):603–615, 2005.
- [6] Christos Agrafiotis, Martin Roeb, and Christian Sattler. A review on solar thermal syngas production via redox pair-based water/carbon dioxide splitting thermochemical cycles. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, 42:254–285, 2015.
- [7] William C. Chueh and Sossina M. Haile. Ceria as a thermochemical reaction medium for selectively generating syngas or methane from H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub>. *ChemSusChem*, 2(8):735–739, 2009.
- [8] Christopher Muhich and Aldo Steinfeld. Principles of doping ceria for the solar thermochemical redox splitting of h<sub>2</sub>o and co<sub>2</sub>. *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 5(30):15578–15590, 2017.
- [9] Michael Bulfin, Johannes Vieten, Felix Call, Martin Lange, August Francke, Martin Roeb, and Christian Sattler. Thermodynamics of ceria reduction and oxidation for solar thermochemical applications. *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 5:1152–1160, 2017.
- [10] Robert B Wexler, Ellen B Stechel, and Emily A Carter. Materials design directions for solar thermochemical water splitting. *Solar Fuels*, pages 1–63, 2023.
- [11] Anthony H. McDaniel, Elizabeth C. Miller, Dodi Arifin, Andrea Ambrosini, Eric N. Coker, Ryan O’Hayre, William C. Chueh, and Jijie Tong. Sr- and mn-doped laalo<sub>3-δ</sub> for solar thermochemical h<sub>2</sub> and co production. *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 6:2424–2428, 2013.



- [12] Johannes Vieten, Brendan Bulfin, Felix Call, Martin Lange, Marcus Schmücker, August Francke, Martin Roeb, and Christian Sattler. Perovskite oxides for application in thermochemical air separation and oxygen storage. *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 4(35):13652–13659, 2016.
- [13] Matthew D Witman, Anuj Goyal, Tadashi Ogitsu, Anthony H McDaniel, and Stephan Lany. Defect graph neural networks for materials discovery in high-temperature clean-energy applications. *Nat. Comp. Sci.*, 3(8):675–686, 2023.
- [14] S Shahab Naghavi, Antoine A Emery, Heine A Hansen, Fei Zhou, Vidvuds Ozolins, and Chris Wolverton. Giant onsite electronic entropy enhances the performance of ceria for water splitting. *Nat. Comm.*, 8(1):285, 2017.
- [15] Gopalakrishnan Sai Gautam, Ellen B. Stechel, and Emily A. Carter. Exploring ca-ce-m-o ( $m=3d$  transition metal) oxide perovskites for solar thermochemical applications. *Chem. Mater.*, 32(23):9964–9982, 2020.
- [16] Robert B Wexler, Gopalakrishnan Sai Gautam, Robert T Bell, Sarah Shulda, Nicholas A Strange, Jamie A Trindell, Joshua D Sugar, Eli Nygren, Sami Sainio, Anthony H McDaniel, et al. Multiple and nonlocal cation redox in ca-ce-ti-mn oxide perovskites for solar thermochemical applications. *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 16(6):2550–2560, 2023.
- [17] Ke Gao, Xianglei Liu, Qi Wang, Zhixing Jiang, Cheng Tian, Nan Sun, and Yimin Xuan. Remarkable solar thermochemical co<sub>2</sub> splitting performances based on ce-and al-doped srnmno<sub>3</sub> perovskites. *Sustain. Energy Fuels*, 7(4):1027–1040, 2023.
- [18] Su Jeong Heo, Michael Sanders, Ryan O’Hayre, and Andriy Zakutayev. Double-site substitution of ce into (ba, sr) mno<sub>3</sub> perovskites for solar thermochemical hydrogen production. *ACS Energy Lett.*, 6(9):3037–3043, 2021.
- [19] Emily Krzystowczyk, Xijun Wang, Jian Dou, Vasudev Haribal, and Fanxing Li. Substituted srfeo<sub>3</sub> as robust oxygen sorbents for thermochemical air separation: correlating redox performance with compositional and structural properties. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 22(16):8924–8932, 2020.
- [20] Y Takeda, T Hashino, H Miyamoto, F Kanamaru, S Kume, and M Koizumi. Synthesis of srnio<sub>3</sub> and related compound, sr<sub>2</sub>ni<sub>2</sub>o<sub>5</sub>. *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 34(5):1599–1601, 1972.
- [21] Gopalakrishnan Sai Gautam, Ellen B Stechel, and Emily A Carter. A first-principles-based sub-lattice formalism for predicting off-stoichiometry in materials for solar thermochemical applications: The example of ceria. *Adv. Theory Simul.*, 3(9):2000112, 2020.
- [22] Georg Kresse and Jürgen Furthmüller. Efficient iterative schemes for *ab initio* total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Phys. Rev. B*, 54(16):11169–11186, 1996.
- [23] Georg Kresse and J. Hafner. *Ab initio* molecular dynamics for liquid metals. *Phys. Rev. B*, 47(1):558–561, 1993.
- [24] Georg Kresse and David Joubert. From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B*, 59(3):1758–1775, 1999.

- [25] Jianwei Sun, Adrienn Ruzsinszky, and John P. Perdew. Strongly constrained and appropriately normed semilocal density functional. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 115:036402, 2015.
- [26] Gopalakrishnan Sai Gautam and Emily A Carter. Evaluating transition metal oxides within dft-scan and scan+ u frameworks for solar thermochemical applications. *Phys. Rev. Mater.*, 2(9):095401, 2018.
- [27] Olivia Y Long, Gopalakrishnan Sai Gautam, and Emily A Carter. Evaluating optimal u for 3 d transition-metal oxides within the scan+ u framework. *Phys. Rev. Mater.*, 4(4):045401, 2020.
- [28] S Swathilakshmi, Reshma Devi, and Gopalakrishnan Sai Gautam. Performance of the r2scan functional in transition metal oxides. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 19(13):4202–4215, 2023.
- [29] Hendrik J Monkhorst and James D Pack. Special points for brillouin-zone integrations. *Phys. Rev. B*, 13(12):5188, 1976.
- [30] Michael Hellenbrandt. The inorganic crystal structure database (icsd)—present and future. *Crystallogr. Rev.*, 10(1):17–22, 2004.
- [31] Shyue Ping Ong, William D. Richards, Anubhav Jain, Geoffroy Hautier, Minseok Kocher, Shreyas Cholia, Daniel Gunter, Vincent L. Chevrier, Kristin A. Persson, and Gerbrand Ceder. Python materials genomics (pymatgen): a robust, open-source python library for materials analysis. *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, 68:314–319, 2013.
- [32] Robert B. Wexler, Gopalakrishnan Sai Gautam, Ellen B. Stechel, and Emily A. Carter. Factors Governing Oxygen Vacancy Formation in Oxide Perovskites. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 143(33):13212–13227, August 2021. Publisher: American Chemical Society.
- [33] Y. C. Lan, X. L. Chen, and M. He. Structure, magnetic susceptibility and resistivity properties of  $\text{SrVO}_3$ . *J. Alloys Compd.*, 354:95–98, 2003.
- [34] Zhong-Li Zhu, Jian-Hong Gu, Yu Jia, and Xian Hu. A comparative study of electronic structure and magnetic properties of  $\text{SrCrO}_3$  and  $\text{SrMoO}_3$ . *Physica B Condens. Matter*, 407:1990–1994, 2012.
- [35] Yong Wang, Jian Chen, and Xueyi Wu. Preparation and gas-sensing properties of perovskite-type  $\text{SrFeO}_3$  oxide. *Mater. Lett.*, 49(6):361–364, 2001.
- [36] Pavel Bezduka, Alain Wattiaux, Jean-Claude Grenier, Michel Pouchard, and Paul Hagenmuller. Preparation and characterization of fully stoichiometric  $\text{SrCoO}_3$  by electrochemical oxidation. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 619(1):7–12, 1993.
- [37] Fuxiao Dong, Gefei Lu, Qinghua Ma, Bojun Zhao, Haiou Wang, Dexuan Huo, and Weishi Tan. Structure and magnetic properties of the manganite  $\text{SrMnO}_3$ . *J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron.*, 34(36):2322, 2023.
- [38] Debora R. Barcellos, Michael D. Sanders, Jianhua Tong, Anthony H. McDaniel, and Ryan P. O’Hayre.  $\text{BaCe}_{0.25}\text{Mn}_{0.75}\text{O}_{3-\delta}$ —a promising perovskite-type oxide for solar thermochemical hydrogen production. *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 11:3256–3265, 2018.

## 5 Electronic supplementary information

### SI. Overall solar thermochemical reaction

The single-step thermal dissociation of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ :



The two-step solar thermochemical water splitting (STWS) cycle for a perovskite  $\text{AMO}_3$ , where M is a redox-active transition metal and A is a ‘large’ cation:



The overall reaction by summing (S2) and (S3):



## SII. Crystal Structures

Table S1 lists the ternary  $\text{SrMO}_3$  polymorphs considered in this study, along with their space groups and collection codes from the inorganic crystal structure database (ICSD), where  $M = \text{Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, or Co}$ . We used the listed structures as initial guesses for density functional theory (DFT) based calculations to identify the ground state polymorph of each  $\text{SrMO}_3$  composition.

Table S1: ICSD collection codes and space groups for ternary  $\text{SrMO}_3$  polymorphs.

System	Space Group	ICSD Collection Code
$\text{SrTiO}_3$	I4/mcm	182762
	$\text{Pm}\bar{3}\text{m}$	80873
$\text{SrVO}_3$	$\text{Pm}\bar{3}\text{m}$	88982
$\text{SrCrO}_3$	$\text{Pm}\bar{3}\text{m}$	108903
$\text{SrMnO}_3$	C221	157936
	$\text{P6}_3/\text{mmc}$	185417
	$\text{Pm}\bar{3}\text{m}$	17243
	$\text{P6}_3$	17245
$\text{SrFeO}_3$	$\text{Pm}\bar{3}\text{m}$	92335
$\text{SrCoO}_3$	$\text{Pm}\bar{3}\text{m}$	77142
	$\text{P12}_1/\text{m1}$	108896

### SIII. Convex hulls

We evaluated the thermodynamic stability of the ternary  $\text{SrMO}_3$  and quaternary  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{MO}_3$  perovskites by constructing the 0 K convex hull based on DFT-calculated total energies. Figure S1 shows the ternary convex hulls for the Sr-M-O systems, while Figure S2 shows relevant ternary projections of the quaternary convex hulls for the Sr-Ce-M-O systems. Perovskite compositions that lie on the hull (green dots) are predicted to be thermodynamically stable with respect to decomposition into other competing phases (black dots). Red dots indicate metastable/unstable perovskite compositions.




Figure S1: Calculated 0 K convex hulls of ternary Sr-M-O systems, namely, (a) Sr-Ti-O, (b) Sr-V-O, (c) Sr-Cr-O, (d) Sr-Mn-O, (e) Sr-Fe-O, and (f) Sr-Co-O. Green (red) dots indicate that the perovskite compositions of interest lies on (above) the hull, with respect to the other competing phases (black dots). The thermodynamic decomposition products of  $\text{SrCoO}_3$  are  $\text{SrO}_2$ ,  $\text{Sr}_2\text{Co}_2\text{O}_5$  and  $\text{SrCo}_6\text{O}_{11}$ , while that of  $\text{SrCrO}_3$  are  $\text{SrCr}_2\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_8$ .




Figure S2: Calculated 0 K convex hulls of quaternary Sr-Ce-M-O systems, namely, (a) Sr-Ce-V-O, (b) Sr-Ce-Cr-O, (c) Sr-Ce-Mn-O, (d) Sr-Ce-Fe-O, and (e) Sr-Ce-Co-O. Since quaternary convex hulls are 3D entities, we display relevant 2D projections containing the  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{MO}_3$  perovskites of interest for ease of visualization. Notations used are similar to Figure S1.

## SIV. Oxidation states

Table S2: Oxidation states of Sr and M cations in Sr-M-O ternaries in pristine and defect structures, based on calculated on-site magnetic moments. V exhibits mixed oxidation states in the pristine as well as defective  $\text{SrVO}_3$  structure. Fe does not exhibit a noticeable change in its magnetic moment upon defect formation in  $\text{SrFeO}_3$ . Co exhibits both low-spin and high-spin configurations on its  $\text{Co}^{4+}$  and  $\text{Co}^{3+}$  sites upon defect formation in  $\text{SrCoO}_3$ .

M-site	Compound	Sr oxidation state	M oxidation state
Ti	Pristine	$\text{Sr}^{2+}$	$\text{Ti}^{4+}$
	Defect	$\text{Sr}^{2+}$	$\text{Ti}^{4+/3+}$
V	Pristine	$\text{Sr}^{2+}$	$\text{V}^{4+/3+}$
	Defect	$\text{Sr}^{2+}$	$\text{V}^{4+/3+}$
Cr	Pristine	$\text{Sr}^{2+}$	$\text{Cr}^{4+}$
	Defect	$\text{Sr}^{2+}$	$\text{Cr}^{4+/3+}$
Mn	Pristine	$\text{Sr}^{2+}$	$\text{Mn}^{4+}$
	Defect	$\text{Sr}^{2+}$	$\text{Mn}^{4+/3+}$
Fe	Pristine	$\text{Sr}^{2+}$	$\text{Fe}^{4+}$
	Defect	$\text{Sr}^{2+}$	$\text{Fe}^{4+}$
Co	Pristine	$\text{Sr}^{2+}$	$\text{Co}^{4+}$
	Defect	$\text{Sr}^{2+}$	$\text{Co}^{4+/3+}$

Table S3: Oxidation states of Ce and M cations in  $\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{Ce}_{0.5}\text{MO}_3$  perovskites in pristine and defect structures, based on calculated on-site magnetic moments. Sr exhibits the 2+ oxidation state in both the defective and pristine structures of all quaternaries considered. Although the Cr and Co systems contain Ce in its 4+ oxidation state, with potential for reduction to  $\text{Ce}^{3+}$ , we do not observe any noticeable change in the on-site magnetic moments of  $\text{Ce}^{4+}$  upon defect formation in the quaternary structures, citing the sole participation of the transition metal in the reduction. The Fe-containing quaternary exhibited an extent of disproportionation upon defect formation, i.e.,  $\text{Ce}^{3+}$  oxidized in addition to  $\text{Fe}^{4+}$  reduction upon defect formation.

M-site	Compound	Ce oxidation state	M oxidation state
V	Pristine	$\text{Ce}^{3+}$	$\text{V}^{4+/3+}$
	Defect	$\text{Ce}^{3+}$	$\text{V}^{4+/3+}$
Cr	Pristine	$\text{Ce}^{4+}$	$\text{Cr}^{3+}$
	Defect	$\text{Ce}^{4+}$	$\text{Cr}^{3+/2+}$
Mn	Pristine	$\text{Ce}^{3+}$	$\text{Mn}^{4+/3+}$
	Defect	$\text{Ce}^{3+}$	$\text{Mn}^{4+/3+}$
Fe	Pristine	$\text{Ce}^{3+}$	$\text{Fe}^{4+/3+}$
	Defect	$\text{Ce}^{4+}$	$\text{Fe}^{4+/3+}$
Co	Pristine	$\text{Ce}^{4+}$	$\text{Co}^{3+}$
	Defect	$\text{Ce}^{4+}$	$\text{Co}^{3+/2+}$

## SV. Convex hull calculations

ICSD collection codes of all ordered unary, binary, ternary, and quaternary Sr-Ce-M-O structures considered are compiled below, as grouped by M (transition metal, M = Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, or Ni), Ce, and Sr. Note that we did not consider intermetallic phases (e.g., Sr-M, Ce-M, M-M', Sr-Ce-M, etc.) in our convex hull calculations.

### Titanium-containing compounds (Ti)

Compound	ICSD ID	Compound	ICSD ID
Ti	43416	TiO	15327
TiO <sub>2</sub>	9191	Ti <sub>2</sub> O	23574
Ti <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	6095	Ti <sub>3</sub> O	20041
Ti <sub>3</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	75194	Ti <sub>4</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	77697
Ti <sub>4</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	6098	Ti <sub>5</sub> O <sub>9</sub>	9038
Ti <sub>6</sub> O	17009	Ti <sub>6</sub> O <sub>11</sub>	35121
Ti <sub>7</sub> O <sub>13</sub>	35122	Ti <sub>8</sub> O <sub>15</sub>	35123
Ti <sub>9</sub> O <sub>17</sub>	35124	SrTi <sub>11</sub> O <sub>20</sub>	71299
Sr <sub>2</sub> Ti <sub>6</sub> O <sub>13</sub>	10455	Sr <sub>3</sub> Ti <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	63704
SrTiO <sub>3</sub>	182762	SrTiO <sub>4</sub>	194713

### Vanadium-containing compounds (V)

Compound	ICSD ID	Compound	ICSD ID
V	43420	VO	28681
VO <sub>2</sub>	15889	V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	6286
V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	24042	V <sub>3</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	16445
V <sub>3</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	2338	V <sub>4</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	2775
V <sub>4</sub> O <sub>9</sub>	15041	V <sub>5</sub> O <sub>9</sub>	6097
V <sub>6</sub> O <sub>11</sub>	196	V <sub>6</sub> O <sub>13</sub>	15028
V <sub>7</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	77706	V <sub>7</sub> O <sub>13</sub>	197
V <sub>8</sub> O	166600	V <sub>8</sub> O <sub>15</sub>	424885
V <sub>9</sub> O <sub>17</sub>	424886	V <sub>13</sub> O <sub>16</sub>	77708
V <sub>16</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	77707	SrV <sub>3</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	72604
SrV <sub>6</sub> O <sub>15</sub>	98574	SrV <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	65928
Sr <sub>2</sub> V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	10330	Sr <sub>4</sub> V <sub>3</sub> O <sub>10</sub>	73698
Sr <sub>2</sub> VO <sub>4</sub>	69000	SrV <sub>6</sub> O <sub>11</sub>	71868
Sr <sub>3</sub> V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	54655	SrV <sub>4</sub> O <sub>9</sub>	90926
SrV <sub>13</sub> O <sub>18</sub>	97949	Sr <sub>3</sub> V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	71320
SrV <sub>10</sub> O <sub>15</sub>	15273		



**Chromium-containing compounds (Cr)**

Compound	ICSD ID	Compound	ICSD ID
Cr	44731	CrO	109296
CrO <sub>2</sub>	9423	CrO <sub>3</sub>	16031
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	25781	Cr <sub>3</sub> O	15904
Cr <sub>5</sub> O <sub>12</sub>	24299	Cr <sub>8</sub> O <sub>21</sub>	71297
Sr <sub>9</sub> Cr <sub>5</sub> O <sub>18</sub>	91252	SrCr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	6132
SrCr <sub>10</sub> O <sub>15</sub>	85053	Sr <sub>2</sub> CrO <sub>4</sub>	26944
Sr <sub>4</sub> Cr <sub>3</sub> O <sub>9</sub>	85045	SrCrO <sub>3</sub>	245834
SrCrO <sub>4</sub>	259674	SrCrO <sub>4</sub>	259675
Sr <sub>4</sub> Cr <sub>3</sub> O <sub>10</sub>	257906	Sr <sub>3</sub> Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	85055
SrCr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	28411		

**Manganese-containing compounds (Mn)**

Compound	ICSD ID	Compound	ICSD ID
Mn	5248	MnO	9864
MnO <sub>2</sub>	393	Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	24342
Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	60821	Mn <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	8355
Mn <sub>5</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	16956	Sr <sub>7</sub> Mn <sub>4</sub> O <sub>15</sub>	72332
Sr <sub>3</sub> Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	51215	Sr <sub>5</sub> Mn <sub>5</sub> O <sub>13</sub>	159660
Sr <sub>2</sub> Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	90184	Sr <sub>2</sub> Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	90183
Sr <sub>2</sub> MnO <sub>4</sub>	26560	Sr <sub>7</sub> Mn <sub>4</sub> O <sub>13</sub>	160291
SrMnO <sub>3</sub>	17243	SrMn <sub>7</sub> O <sub>12</sub>	195757
Sr <sub>4</sub> Mn <sub>3</sub> O <sub>10</sub>	81351		

**Iron-containing compounds (Fe)**

Compound	ICSD ID	Compound	ICSD ID
Fe	14754	FeO	31081
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	7797	Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	5247
Fe <sub>4</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	434152	Fe <sub>5</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	430563
Fe <sub>7</sub> O <sub>9</sub>	430601	Fe <sub>7</sub> O <sub>10</sub>	135154
Fe <sub>13</sub> O <sub>19</sub>	238770	Fe <sub>25</sub> O <sub>32</sub>	430562
SrFeO <sub>2</sub>	173434	SrFeO <sub>3</sub>	58460
Sr <sub>2</sub> FeO <sub>3</sub>	251018	Sr <sub>2</sub> FeO <sub>4</sub>	74419
Sr(FeO <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	94350	Sr <sub>3</sub> (FeO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	74434
Sr <sub>2</sub> Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	51318	Sr <sub>2</sub> Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	247823
Sr <sub>3</sub> Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	261512	Sr <sub>3</sub> Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	2648
Sr <sub>4</sub> Fe <sub>4</sub> O <sub>11</sub>	91064	Sr <sub>4</sub> Fe <sub>6</sub> O <sub>12</sub>	290200
Sr <sub>4</sub> Fe <sub>6</sub> O <sub>13</sub>	63621	Sr <sub>8</sub> Fe <sub>8</sub> O <sub>23</sub>	91063
Sr <sub>25</sub> Fe <sub>30</sub> O <sub>77</sub>	118097	Sr <sub>2</sub> (FeO <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	290200

**Cobalt-containing compounds (Co)**

Compound	ICSD ID	Compound	ICSD ID
Co	36675	CoO	9865
CoO <sub>2</sub>	88722	Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	24210
Sr <sub>2</sub> Co <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	162239	Sr <sub>2</sub> Co <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	162240
Sr <sub>2</sub> Co <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	173696	Sr <sub>8</sub> Co <sub>8</sub> O <sub>23</sub>	245305
Sr <sub>6</sub> (CoO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>5</sub>	173698	Sr <sub>6</sub> (CoO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>5</sub>	81312
SrCoO	155223	SrCoO <sub>3</sub>	108896
Sr <sub>2</sub> CoO <sub>3</sub>	99894	Sr <sub>5</sub> (CoO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>	88628
Sr <sub>3</sub> (CoO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	182288	SrCo <sub>6</sub> O <sub>11</sub>	152279
Sr <sub>2</sub> CoO <sub>4</sub>	246483		

**Cerium-containing compounds (Ce)**

Compound	ICSD ID	Compound	ICSD ID
Ce	41823	CeO	52886
CeO <sub>2</sub>	70257	Ce <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	621706
Ce <sub>7</sub> O <sub>12</sub>	88754	SrCeO <sub>3</sub>	71352
CeVO <sub>3</sub>	99835	CeCrO <sub>3</sub>	28931
CeMn <sub>7</sub> O <sub>12</sub>	8773	CeCrO <sub>3</sub>	28931
CeVO <sub>3</sub>	99835		

**Strontium-containing compounds (Sr)**

Compound	ICSD ID	Compound	ICSD ID
Sr	44721	SrO	19987
SrO <sub>2</sub>	24249		